

Lingnan University

Digital Commons @ Lingnan University

CAPS Working Paper Series

Centre for Asian Pacific Studies 亞洲太平洋研究中心

2000

Structural transformation and economic growth in Hong Kong : another look at young's "a tale of two cities"

Hiroyuki IMAI

Follow this and additional works at: <https://commons.ln.edu.hk/capswp>



Part of the [Growth and Development Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Imai, H. (2000). Structural transformation and economic growth in Hong Kong : another look at young's "a tale of two cities" (CAPS Working Paper Series No.98). Retrieved from Lingnan University website: <http://commons.ln.edu.hk/capswp/25>

This Paper Series is brought to you for free and open access by the Centre for Asian Pacific Studies 亞洲太平洋研究中心 at Digital Commons @ Lingnan University. It has been accepted for inclusion in CAPS Working Paper Series by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ Lingnan University.



Working Paper Series

Centre for Asian Pacific Studies

No. 98 (1/00) CAPS

**STRUCTURAL TRANSFORMATION AND
ECONOMIC GROWTH IN HONG KONG:
ANOTHER LOOK AT YOUNG'S
"A TALE OF TWO CITIES"**

by

Dr. Hiroyuki Imai

香港屯門
嶺南大學

亞洲太平洋研究中心
Centre for Asian Pacific Studies
Lingnan University
Tuen Mun, Hong Kong

Tel: (852) 2616 7180
Fax: (852) 2465 5786

Lingnan University
Hong Kong

Structural Transformation and
Economic Growth in Hong Kong:
Another Look at Young's
"A Tale of Two Cities"

Dr. Hiroyuki Imai

March 2000

© Hiroyuki Imai

Dr. Hiroyuki Imai is Associate Professor of Department of Economics,
Lingnan University, Hong Kong.

Centre for Asian Pacific Studies
Lingnan University
Tuen Mun
Hong Kong
Tel: (852) 2616 7180-82
Fax: (852) 2465 5786
Email: caps@ln.edu.hk
<http://www.ln.edu.hk/caps/>

香港中文大學

經濟學系

亞太研究中心

Structural Transformation and Economic Growth in Hong Kong:
Another Look at Young's "A Tale of Two Cities"

by

Hiroyuki Imai

Department of Economics
Lingnan University
Tuen Mun, Hong Kong
Tel. 852-2616-7201, Fax 852-2891-7940
E-Mail himai@ln.edu.hk

March 2000

CAPS and CPPS Working Papers are circulated to invite discussion and critical comment. Opinions expressed in them are the author's and should not be taken as representing the opinions of the Centres or Lingnan University. These papers may be freely circulated but they are not to be quoted without the written permission of the author. Please address comments and suggestions to the author.

Structural Transformation and Economic Growth in Hong Kong:
Another Look at Young's "A Tale of Two Cities"

Abstract

Young (*A Tale of Two Cities*, 1992 and *The Tyranny of Numbers*, 1995) demonstrated, based on the data from the 1960s to the 1980s, that the total factor productivity (TFP) growth rate in Hong Kong was markedly high. Hong Kong's output growth since the mid-1980s owed much to the establishment of the cross-border division of labor in which Hong Kong relocated manufacturing to the Mainland and provided support and entrepôt trade services in the form of service exports. Nevertheless, growth accounting at the sectoral level indicates that Hong Kong's TFP growth since the 1980s came largely from the declining manufacturing sector. The expanding services sector's TFP growth, whether tradable or not, has been low. Hong Kong's annual TFP growth rate dropped below one percent during the 1991-97 period. As a result of the decelerating demand growth for Hong Kong's service exports since the mid-1990s as well as the greatly reduced manufacturing sector's size, a fall of Hong Kong's long-term output growth rate appears to be unavoidable.
(JEL classification: O11, O47, O53)

Structural Transformation and Economic Growth in Hong Kong:
Another Look at Young's "A Tale of Two Cities"

1. Introduction

Earlier in this decade, Young (1992 and 1995) pointed out a striking difference in long-term economic growth patterns between Hong Kong and Singapore. With growth accounting based on the data from the 1960s to the early 1990s, Young demonstrated that the total factor productivity (TFP) growth rate in Hong Kong (1966-91, 2.3% annually) was markedly high compared with that of near zero in Singapore (1966-90, 0.2%).¹ A corollary is that, depending less on fast input increases, Hong Kong's high growth is more sustainable than is Singapore's.² Despite respectable output growth of the Hong Kong economy through the 1990s until the regional economic slump from late 1997, Hong Kong's long-term growth rate appears to have been falling. The annual GDP growth rate dropped from 9.0% in the 1970s to 6.5% in the 1980s and to 5.2% during the first seven years of the 1990s.³ The objective of my study is to clarify the consequences of Hong Kong's structural transformation since the mid-1980s on its long-term economic growth, which Young's work did not consider fully, and reassess the growth potential of the Hong Kong economy with updated

¹ The TFP growth rates cited are from Tables V (p. 657) and VI (p. 658), Young, 1995. Young's growth accounting is based on the translog production function with a single output and disaggregated labor and capital inputs. Under this method, the qualitative improvement of capital and labor is accounted (rightfully) as part of input growth.

² Krugman (1994) used Young's growth accounting results to apply the familiar logic to East Asian economies, (i) resource constraints and the law of diminishing marginal returns sooner or later put an end to fast output growth that relies on resource mobilization and (ii) part of output growth originating from TFP growth is sustainable.

³ Unless otherwise stated, the sources and definitions of statistical data cited in this paper are listed in the Appendix.

macroeconomic data. In extending Young's growth accounting, I have performed growth accounting on a sectoral basis for the 1981-97 period.

2. Structural Transformation Since the 1980s

Young's main arguments (1992) for Hong Kong's favorable TFP growth record in contrast to Singapore are (i) Hong Kong's relatively educated work force at the early stage of its postwar industrialization and (ii) its industrial transition was largely free from government's discretionary policy. Guided by profits and market competition, Hong Kong entrepreneurs displayed remarkable adaptability to new business opportunities, from textiles in the 1950s, to clothing and plastics (toys) in the 1960s, to electronics (watches) and banking in the 1970s, and to entrepôt trade in the 1980s (Young, 1992, Table 3, p. 25). Although industrial structure evolved over time, capital invested in light manufacturing and service trades yielded relatively high continuous returns.

Accompanying dramatic deindustrialization, the last industrial transition in the 1980s towards entrepôt trade had a far-reaching impact on Hong Kong's growth pattern. China's historic policy decision to launch economic reforms in the late 1970s offered a unique opportunity for Hong Kong to sustain its growth momentum by establishing a cross-regional division of labor. As a growing number of Hong Kong's manufacturing enterprises began relocating their operations through direct foreign investment to close-by Chinese provinces from the first half of the 1980s, Hong Kong has become the operations center and transshipping port of the rapidly

industrializing southern China region.⁴ Hong Kong's manufacturing investment in the mainland was turning out large volume exports by the second half of the 1980s, and Hong Kong's entrepôt trade was reinvigorated in the process. The real value of Hong Kong's re-exports increased by an astonishing 21.1% annually during the 1985-97 period (Table 1, fifth column). Thanks to the rapidly growing demand for Hong Kong's service exports (such as shipping, storage, insurance, marketing, trade, financial, and managerial services) in supporting the industrial base in southern China and expanding re-exports through Hong Kong ports, Hong Kong's tradable services sector replaced manufacturing as its leading growth industry.

Reflecting the upward shift of the demand curve, Hong Kong's service exports prices rose by 6.0% and their real value by 7.2% annually during the 1985-97 period (eighth and sixth columns). Economic transformation towards a service-sector based economy reached the point that the goods producing sector of the economy began to contract by the end of the 1980s. The real value of domestic exports stagnated around 1989, then decreased from 1993, falling behind service exports in 1996 (third column). While the tradable services sector continued fast expansion (annual output growth rate: 1985-97,

⁴ Ho states, by 1996 "on top of some four-fifths of Hong Kong manufacturers having transferred production to the Mainland, around 30,000 factories in the Pearl River Delta region of Guangdong alone are engaged in outward processing for Hong Kong companies, while between three and four million people there are directly or indirectly employed by these Hong Kong outward processing firms" (1996, 79-81). Note that less than 0.4 million workers were engaged in the manufacturing sector in Hong Kong in 1997 (source, Appendix B, H6). Outward processing represents a common business arrangement in which Hong Kong companies typically provide factories in Guangdong with raw materials and intermediate inputs for processing. Hong Kong companies often make direct investment so that mainland parties secure production equipment and physical plants. Finished products are shipped to Hong Kong for re-export.

9.4%, Table 2, fourth column), the tradable goods sector's (mainly manufacturing) output growth rate declined from annual 6.9% during the 1985-90 period to - 3.7% during the 1991-97 period (third column).⁵ By the mid-1990s, Hong Kong had completed its transition to a services sector-based economy. Despite strong annual real output growth of 5.7% during the 1985-97 period, the nominal GDP share of manufacturing dropped sharply from 23.1% in 1984 to 6.0% in 1997 and that of tradable services rose markedly from 28.4% in 1984 to 37.9% in 1997. Deindustrialization was no less dramatic in sectoral employment than in output; the manufacturing sector's employment share fell from 40.2% in 1984 to 11.6% in 1997.

3. Growth Decomposition: Demand side

Considering the demand-side of economic growth, one can decompose Hong Kong's output growth since the 1980s into four elements.⁶ Equation (1) is the GDP identity where exports consist of goods (domestic exports) and services⁷:

$$Y = D + X_g + X_s - M, \quad (1)$$

⁵ See Appendix A, H5 for Hong Kong's industrial classification adopted in this paper.

⁶ See Syrquin (1988, pp. 250-51) for a formal presentation of the decomposition method for gross output. The author has used a modified version to apply to net output (GDP) growth.

⁷ Re-exports are omitted in the equation because the direct relationship between the value of re-exports and the impact on output is weak. Imports in the equation represent total imports net of re-exports. The equation, however, captures the effect of re-exports through other variables such as service and domestic exports.

Notations: Y = GDP; D = domestic demand; X_g = domestic exports, X_s = exports of services; and M = imports net of re-exports. All variables are in constant prices.

Let α denote the share of total domestic demand supplied from domestic sources, $(D - M)/D$,

$$Y = \alpha D + X_g + X_s. \quad (2)$$

The differentiation of Equation (2) with respect to time yields,

$$\hat{Y} = \alpha \hat{D} + \hat{\alpha} D + \hat{X}_g + \hat{X}_s. \quad (3)$$

A circumflex above a variable denotes the derivative with respect to time ($\hat{x} = dx/dt$). Note that α 's rise implies economic development biased towards import substitution. Table 3 reports the percentage contribution to GDP growth of each demand-side element.

Hong Kong is a prime example of a small open economy heavily dependent on foreign trade. Because of the multiplier effect, export growth affects output substantially more than the sizes of \hat{X}_g and \hat{X}_s in the table might indicate. The first and second rows of the lower panel suggest that the expansion of domestic exports (\hat{X}_g) was the main propellant of the Hong Kong economy on the demand side until the late 1980s. This pattern was broken in the 1990s when manufacturing exports of Hong Kong products stagnated. However, the high prices reflecting strong external demand associated with the newly-formed Hong Kong-Mainland cross-regional division of labor continued to pull services exports forward (\hat{X}_s). Export demand for tradable services along with strong domestic demand began to play the central role in economic

growth during the 1990s. The demand-side decomposition appears to underscore the change in the growth pattern that accompanied the industrial transition discussed above.

4. Growth Accounting: Supply Side

4.1. Aggregate Estimates

Production-based sectoral GDP data are available since 1980 with which the author has performed growth accounting of Hong Kong's three sectors (tradable goods, tradable services, and nontradables) based on the Solow model.⁹ The aggregate-level (sum of the three sectors) growth accounting estimates are in Table 4 along with Young's (1995).

With the Solow model (Table 4-B), one may fully attribute the decline in the output growth rate in the 1990s (1980s, 6.6%; 1991-97, 4.7%) to the sharp deceleration of TFP (\hat{A}) growth (from 2.4% to 0.2%). Because the long-term growth rates of capital and labor barely changed, the output growth rate must have been little affected by aggregate inputs. Note that, because of errors and omissions in Hong Kong's national income accounts, the production-based GDP used in growth accounting occasionally does not match well the expenditure-based GDP. The annual GDP growth rates of the production-based GDP are 0.1% higher during the 1981-90 period and 0.5% lower during the 1991-

⁹ Because disaggregated labor and capital input data are available at the Hong Kong regional level but unavailable at the industrial level, the Solow model (Cobb-Douglas production function) is used for growth accounting. Unlike growth accounting based on the translog production function such as the one by Young, TFP growth computed from the Solow residual cannot exclude the qualitative improvement of capital and labor, leading to overstatement of TFP growth. This partly explains why this author's TFP growth estimates at the aggregate level (Table 4-B) are larger than those by Young (4-A) for the 1980s.

97 period than those of expenditure-based GDP. Instead, if the expenditure-based GDP was used, the fall in the TFP growth rate computed would be about 0.6% smaller than the observed 2.2% (from 2.4% to 0.2%) and the 1991-97 TFP growth rate would rise to 0.6% from the reported 0.2%. In either situation, one has to conclude that the annual TFP growth rate during the 1990s preceding the Asian Financial Crisis dropped to less than 1%. The respectable around 5% annual output growth during the 1990s was largely input-driven. Looking back on the 1970s in Young's table (Table 4-A), one can confirm that the deceleration in TFP growth began in the 1980s and was also responsible for the fall in the observed GDP growth rate in the 1980s from the 1970s level.

4.2. Sectoral TFP Growth

Growth accounting at the three sectors in the 1980s and the 1990s (Table 5, upper panel) reveals a large gap in TFP growth between the tradable goods and the two services sectors (tradable services and nontradables). While the tradable goods sector (mainly manufacturing) consistently logged high TFP growth, the other two sectors exhibited either positive but low (tradable services) or often negative (nontradables) TFP growth rates since 1981.

As a matter of fact, all or very large part of TFP growth has originated from the tradable goods sector since the 1980s (lower panel). The two services sectors' contribution to Hong Kong's TFP growth has been small or negative. Although data unavailability keeps one from confirming it, the above results leave us to suspect that, during the 1960s and 1970s, the manufacturing sector also accounted

for most of the TFP growth in Young's estimates (Table 4-A). Singapore's annual TFP growth rate at the aggregate level in the 1980s was - 0.5% and that of the manufacturing sector was - 1.1% according to Young (1995, Table VI, p. 658). One must judge that the source of Hong Kong's fast TFP growth relative to Singapore during the 1980s has been the favorable performance of Hong Kong's manufacturing sector. Because of the industrial transition, however, Hong Kong's manufacturing sector's output share decreased greatly through the 1990s. Given its reduced size, the manufacturing sector's contribution to TFP growth in the future is likely to be significantly smaller than in the recent past. Hong Kong's productivity growth advantage over Singapore, therefore, was lost largely during the 1990s.

5. Nature of the 1985-97 Economic Growth

I am in a position to evaluate Hong Kong's economic growth pattern since the mid-1980s. The Hong Kong government pegged the value of the Hong Kong dollar to the U.S. dollar at HK\$7.8 per US\$ under the "linked exchange rate system" in October 1983. This currency regime, by laying a foundation to Hong Kong's macroeconomic stability, contributed to the long economic boom from 1986, which ended abruptly in late 1997 following the currency depreciations of nearby Asian Pacific economies. During the period since the mid-1980s, the tradable services sector has become the leading growth sector of the economy, because Hong Kong's tradable services commanded high prices abroad. Having been able to enjoy sizable terms of trade gains by switching to

tradable services, Hong Kong has made the right choice.' Upon turning itself into a services industry-based economy within a short period, Hong Kong largely shed its manufacturing sector through relocation by the mid-1990s. Deindustrialization in this context confirms once again the time-tested high adaptability of Hong Kong entrepreneurs.

The industrial transition, however, represents a choice in favor of the price (terms of trade) effect over the quantity effect. Hong Kong's tradable goods sector has exhibited fast TFP growth and slow price increases over years in contrast to the booming tradable services sector which experienced slow TFP growth and rapid price increases. For the Hong Kong population, by switching to tradable services, the purchasing power gain from real exchange rate appreciation (1991-97, +36.5%; Table 1) more than offset the observed 1% plus output growth slowdown during the 1990s.¹⁰

6. Outlook

Looking beyond the current economic slump, the finding that most of Hong Kong's TFP growth originates from the tradable goods sector and the tradable services sector's TFP growth rate has been low casts some doubt on Hong Kong's long-term growth potential. Any economic boom hereafter will be services sector-led. Given the two services

⁹ For example the import prices of services (GDP deflator) grew by 4.4% annually compared with 6.0% in the export prices of services during the 1985-97 period. The Hong Kong dollar real exchange rate against the U.S. dollar based on the GDP deflators of the two economies appreciated by 75.3% during the same period (Table 1, second column).

¹⁰ Note that Hong Kong's economic boom, characterized by the growth of service exports, real exchange rate appreciation, and the contraction of the manufacturing sector, can be interpreted as the Dutch disease. See Imai (1999) for more discussion.

sectors' past record, Hong Kong's TFP growth rate is bound to settle at a low level and its long-term output growth rate to depend largely on input mobilization.¹¹

There has been a noteworthy demand-side development. The growth of service export prices decelerated markedly since 1996 (1995, 5.4%; 1996, 1.6%; 1997, 0.7%; and 1998, - 3.9%) as the early phase of rapid industrialization in southern China ended. Slowing export demand for the tradable services sector began to restrain the sector's output expansion.¹² This will inevitably curb aggregate demand growth. Also, the powerful terms of trade effect since the mid-1980s appears to have run its course. Young's portrayal of Hong Kong's long-term growth pattern fitted quite well when manufacturing was the leading industry. The difference in growth potential between Hong Kong and Singapore may not be as large once the events of the past decade are fully considered.

¹¹ Note that the relatively high labor input growth during the 1990s reflects the relaxation of the Hong Kong government's immigration policy towards the mainland applicants. The daily one-way immigration permit quota for mainland residents was raised from 75 to 105 in 1994 and then to 150 in 1995. Consequently, the number of one-way permit holders entering Hong Kong rose from 27,976 in 1990 to 61,179 in 1996 (Siu, pp. 202-3). In the absence of the policy change, the growth of the labor force should have slowed significantly because of the steady fall in the rate of natural increase and demographic transition.

¹² The tradable services sector's output grew by 4.4% in 1995, the slowest since 1989. The growth rate picked up in 1996 (10.4%) and 1997 (6.2%), the last year in which sectoral output data are available. Because Hong Kong's real GDP decreased by 5.1% in 1998, the sector must have experienced a slump that year.

Appendix: Data Definitions and Sources

- H1. *Constant-price GDP, values of domestic exports, re-exports, and exports of services*: 1990-price annual expenditure-based GDP series, provided by the Census and Statistics Department, the Government of Hong Kong.
- H2. *GDP deflators*: provided by the Census and Statistics Department and *Estimates of Gross Domestic Product 1961 to 1998*, Table 2, pp. 18-19.
- H3. *Hong Kong Dollar exchange rate*: HK\$ per one US\$, annual average, *International Financial Statistics Yearbook*, 1998, pp. 320-321 and *Monthly Statistical Bulletin*, Feb. 1999, p. 47.
- H4. *Sectoral GDP deflators*. The deflator for the tradable sector is computed as the composite deflator of two GDP deflators: one for domestic exports (used as the deflator for tradable goods) and the other for exports of services (for tradable services). With this tradable sector deflator and the GDP deflator, the deflator for the nontradable sector is computed as the geometric difference of the two. Considering Hong Kong's heavy dependence on international trade, this author believes that the GDP deflators for domestic exports and exports of services serve well as the proxies of the missing sectoral output deflators. In 1996, the nominal value-added of the tradable goods and services sectors were HK\$78.3 and HK\$437.0 billion; domestic exports and exports of services were, respectively, HK\$212.2 and HK\$288.6 billion (computed from the data provided by the Census and Statistics Department and *Estimates of Gross Domestic Product 1961 to 1998*, Table 1, p. 13).

H5. Sectoral output: value-added at current prices by economic activity provided by the Census and Statistics Department. Industries are classified into three sectors. The tradable goods sector consists of manufacturing, mining, and agriculture. The tradable services sector consists of import and export trades, hotels, transport, storage, financing, insurance, and business services. The rest represents the nontradable sector. In addition, the tradable goods and services sectors jointly represent the tradable sector. Note that the tradable goods sector is almost exclusively manufacturing; Hong Kong's mining and agricultural sectors are very small. The current-price sectoral value-added are converted to sectoral output in 1990 prices with the sectoral GDP deflators (H4).

H6. Sectoral labor input. *Annual Digest of Statistics* each year lists the numbers of persons engaged in the non-agricultural private industrial sectors based on the business establishment survey (Table 2.9). The number of persons engaged in the civil service is also listed (Table 2.7). If the numbers refer to 1996, for example, these are as of December 1996 for the private sector and as of early January 1997 for the civil service. The Census and Statistics Department provided the author with the data for the persons engaged in the agricultural sector. As a first step, called A series, the numbers of persons engaged in the tradable goods, tradable services, and nontradable sectors are computed according to their industrial classification in H5. The civil service is included in the nontradable sector. Because the business establishment survey omits the self-employed, the computed figures understate the working population. See Census

and Statistics Department (1997) for the coverage of the establishment survey. The Census and Statistics Department provided the author with the population census data for 1981, 1986, 1991, and 1996, which were more complete and accurate. The second series of persons engaged in the three sectors are obtained based on the census data (B series). The ratio of the A series to the B series is calculated for the four census years (A/B ratio). Because the census data is more complete, these ratios are smaller than unity. Each A/B ratio is extended by intrapolation to cover the 1980-1996 period. The A series is divided by the A/B ratio each year to obtain the adjusted sectoral labor input. used in this study.

H7. Sectoral capital stock. The 1990-price expenditure-based GDP series (H1), which includes gross domestic fixed capital formation and change in inventory, date back to 1961. Gross domestic fixed capital formation in the private sector in 1990 prices is available from 1986 onwards (*Estimates of Gross Domestic Product 1961 to 1998*, 38-39). This series was extended back to 1966 with the 1990-price volume index (*Ibid*, pp. 36-37). In 1966, the private sector share of gross domestic fixed investment was 85.2%. Assuming this ratio was constant, the series is further extended to 1961. The sum of this series and inventory change in 1990 prices represents gross investment in 1990 prices. Upon reconstructing the capital stock series with annual gross investment and the rate of depreciation, two assumptions are made; (i) capital stock at the end of 1960 was twice as large as GDP in 1961 in 1990 prices and (ii) the annual rate of depreciation was constant at 5.0%. Table I in Hulten and

Wykoff (1995, p. 17) lists the estimated rates of economic depreciation in the U.S. The rate for non-residential structures is 3%. A similar rate may apply to residential structures. Because the share of construction in gross fixed capital formation has been high in Hong Kong and capital stock in this paper includes inventory investment, a low rate of depreciation (5.0%) is adopted. In the absence of sectoral investment data, the reconstructed total capital stock value is distributed to the three sectors according to the sectoral shares of capital income. This computational method assumes the rental of capital to be identical across the sectors. Considering high factor mobility in Hong Kong, this assumption may be a good approximation over a relatively long period of time. According to the reconstructed data, the annual growth rate of capital stock during the 1985-96 period was 7.3%, and the capital-output ratio in 1996 in Hong Kong was 2.51.

- H8. *Sectoral labor and capital income and their value-added shares.* Value-added at current prices by economic activity (see H5) consists of compensation of employees and gross operating surplus. Because labor income of the self-employed is included in gross operating surplus, the share of compensation of employees in value-added understates the output share elasticity of labor. The share of employees in persons engaged can be computed with the census data (see H6) for the three sectors in 1981, 1986, 1991, and 1996. This share in these four census years is extended by intrapolation to cover the 1980-96 period. Assuming that the unobserved average labor income of self-employed is the same as the employees, the value added share of compensation of employees

is adjusted upward. The unadjusted share is divided by the share of employees in persons engaged to compute the adjusted share. By deducting this labor share from unity, the output share elasticity of capital is obtained. Sectoral labor and capital income is computed by distributing sectoral value-added according to these output share elasticities.

- H9. *Sectoral total factor productivity indexes:* Based on the Cobb-Douglas production function ($Y = AL^\alpha K^{1-\alpha}$) with an output (Y) and labor (L) and capital inputs (K), the Solow residual is computed as A 's log difference for each sector. α and $(1-\alpha)$ are assumed to be constant at the mean of the observed output share elasticity of each factor during the 1984-96 period.
- U1. *U.S. GDP deflator (1992 prices):* Council of Economic Advisors (1999, p. 330).

References

- Ash, Robert and Kueh, Y.Y., *Economic Integration Within Greater China: Trade and Investment Flows Between China, Hong Kong, and Taiwan*, *The China Quarterly*, no. 136, December 1993, pp. 711-45.
- Census and Statistics Department, *Annual Digest of Statistics*, Hong Kong: Government Publications Centre, various years.
- Census and Statistics Department, *Estimates of Gross Domestic Product 1961-1998*, Hong Kong: Government Publications Centre, 1999.
- Census and Statistics Department, "Introduction to the composite employment estimates", *Hong Kong Monthly Digest of Statistics*, March 1997, FB2-FB10.
- Council of Economic Advisors, *Economic Report of the President*, 1999, Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C.
- Ho, Y.P., "Trade and Economic Growth", in Ho, H.C.Y. and Chau, L.C. eds., *The Hong Kong Economy in Transition*, Hong Kong, Asian Research Service, 1996, pp. 71-86.
- Hong Kong Monetary Authority, *Monthly Statistical Bulletin*, no. 54, Feb. 1999.
- Hulten, Charles R. and Wykoff, Frank C., "Issues in the Measurement of Economic Depreciation, Introductory Remarks", *Economic Inquiry*, vol. 34, Jan. 1995, pp. 10-23.
- Imai, Hiroyuki, "Hong Kong's Inflation Under the U.S. Dollar Peg: the Balassa-Samuelson Effect or the Dutch Disease?" *CAPS Working Paper No. 97*, Lingnan University, September 1999, pp. 40.
- Krugman, Paul, "The Myth of Asia's Miracle," *Foreign Affairs*, Nov.-Dec. 1994, pp. 62-78.
- Siu, Yat-ming, "New Arrivals: A New Problem and the Old Problem" in Chow, Larry Chuen-ho and Fan, Yiu-kwan eds., *The Other Hong Kong Report 1998*, Hong Kong: Chinese University Press, 1999, pp. 201-28.
- Syrquin, Moshe, "Patterns of Structural Change", in Chenery, Hollis and Srinivasan, T.N. eds., *Handbook of Development Economics*, vol. 1, Amsterdam: North Holland, 1988, pp. 203-73.
- Tuan, Chyau and Ng, Linda Fung-yee, "Hong Kong's Outward Investment and Regional Economic Integration with Guangdong: Process and Implications", *Journal of Asian Economics*, vol. 6, no. 3, Fall 1995, pp. 385-405.
- Young, Alwyn, "A Tale of Two Cities: Factor Accumulation and Technical Change in Hongkong and Singapore," *NBER Macroeconomics Annual 1992*, Cambridge: MIT Press, 1992, pp. 13-54.
- Young, Alwyn, "The Tyranny of Numbers: Confronting the Statistical Realities of The East Asian Growth Experience," *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, vol. 102, no. 3, August 1995, pp. 641-680.

Table 1

Hong Kong's GDP, Real Exchange Rate, and Exports

Year	Hong Kong's GDP, Real Exchange Rate, and Exports								
	Real GDP (Growth Rate) %	\$HK Real Exchange Rate 1984=100	Domestic Exports		Re-exports	Exports of Services			
			Value (1990 Prices) HK\$Billion	Prices (GDP Deflator) 1984=100	Value (1990 Prices) HK\$Billion	Value (1990 Prices) %	(Growth Rate) %	Prices (GDP Deflator) 1984=100	(Growth Rate) %
1980	10.1	142.5	108.9	-	53.4	65.6	(0.9)	-	-
1981	9.2	127.9	117.7	-	68.3	72.3	(10.2)	-	-
1982	2.7	121.6	114.7	-	66.2	75.0	(3.7)	-	-
1983	5.7	101.8	131.2	-	76.6	79.1	(5.5)	-	-
1984	10.0	100.0	153.8	100.0	99.8	88.0	(11.2)	100.0	-
1985	0.4	102.2	145.5	99.6	124.2	92.5	(5.2)	103.0	(3.0)
1986	10.8	103.3	168.9	101.6	141.5	105.0	(13.5)	106.7	(3.6)
1987	13.0	109.3	208.0	104.7	206.5	122.2	(16.3)	117.8	(10.4)
1988	8.0	115.3	226.8	107.0	301.0	134.3	(9.9)	129.0	(9.5)
1989	2.6	124.4	227.0	110.0	356.9	137.4	(2.3)	144.5	(12.0)
1990	3.4	128.4	225.9	111.5	414.0	142.3	(3.6)	156.0	(8.0)
1991	5.1	135.2	227.0	113.5	523.7	148.9	(4.7)	168.8	(8.2)
1992	6.3	144.9	227.4	114.7	672.0	164.8	(10.6)	179.3	(6.2)
1993	6.1	153.3	217.1	114.5	803.9	178.0	(8.0)	188.9	(5.4)
1994	5.4	160.2	212.2	116.7	915.1	189.6	(6.5)	198.0	(4.8)
1995	3.9	160.4	216.4	119.4	1,046.2	198.8	(4.8)	208.6	(5.4)
1996	4.5	166.8	198.1	119.4	1,124.8	218.0	(9.7)	212.0	(1.6)
1997	5.0	175.3	202.4	116.5	1,201.8	217.8	(- 0.1)	213.6	(0.7)
1998	- 5.1	175.4	186.3	112.7	1,157.3	203.4	(- 6.6)	205.1	(- 3.9)
Growth rate, %									
1981-90	6.5	- 1.0	7.6	-	22.7	8.1	-	-	-
1985-90	6.3	4.3	6.6	1.8	26.8	8.4	-	7.7	-
1991-97	5.2	4.5	- 1.6	1.2	16.4	6.3	-	4.6	-
1985-97	5.7	4.4	2.1	1.5	21.1	7.2	-	6.0	-

Note.- The real GDP is based on the series in 1990 prices for Hong Kong. The Hong Kong dollar real

exchange rate is computed as $(P)/(EP^*)$ indexed to the 1984 level, where P , P^* , and E are, respectively, the GDP deflator in Hong Kong, the GDP deflator in the U.S., and the Hong Kong dollar exchange rate against the U.S. dollar. Pre-1994 export prices are omitted, because Hong Kong had a flexible exchange rate system before the introduction of the U.S. dollar peg in October 1983.

Table 2

Sectoral Output					
Year	All Sectors Value-added	Tradables			Non- tradables
		Total	Goods	Services	
HK\$billion, 1990 prices					
1980	294.2	127.7	50.2	77.4	166.5
1981	326.8	139.7	53.6	86.0	187.1
1982	331.3	139.2	51.5	87.7	192.1
1983	348.6	149.4	56.7	92.7	199.2
1984	378.2	169.8	63.6	106.2	208.4
1985	380.0	170.4	61.8	108.6	209.6
1986	426.5	198.1	72.0	126.1	228.4
1987	485.2	237.2	83.6	153.6	248.0
1988	529.3	263.8	91.1	172.7	265.5
1989	536.5	266.8	94.6	172.2	269.7
1990	559.4	277.9	94.8	183.1	281.5
1991	578.3	296.4	90.6	205.9	281.9
1992	611.1	323.7	91.7	232.0	287.4
1993	638.6	345.3	85.4	259.9	293.3
1994	683.6	358.7	79.3	279.3	324.9
1995	713.1	366.4	74.9	291.5	346.7
1996	749.0	395.0	73.1	321.8	354.0
1997	772.3	414.6	72.9	341.7	357.7
Growth rate, %					
1981-90	6.6	8.1	6.6	9.0	5.4
1985-90	6.7	8.6	6.9	9.5	5.1
1991-97	4.7	5.9	- 3.7	9.3	3.5
1985-97	5.6	7.1	1.1	9.4	4.2

Table 3

GDP Growth Decomposition						
Period	\hat{Y}	αD	$\alpha \hat{D}$	\hat{D}	\hat{X}_g	\hat{X}_s
Annual growth rate, %						
1981-90	6.5	4.5	-	-	7.6	8.1
1985-90	6.3	4.9	-	-	6.6	8.4
1991-97	5.2	9.7	-	-	- 1.6	6.3
1985-97	5.7	7.4	-	-	2.1	7.2
Contribution to GDP growth, %						
1981-90	(100.0)	-	35.6	- 5.7	43.0	28.2
1985-90	(100.0)	-	38.0	- 8.0	40.6	30.6
1991-97	(100.0)	-	60.7	18.2	- 9.5	30.6
1985-97	(100.0)	-	53.7	4.7	11.4	30.6

Note.- Because of errors and omissions in Hong Kong's 1990-price GDE series, the right-hand side does not add up to 100.0 when the period includes pre-1990 years.

Table 4

Growth Accounting-Aggregate Level

A: Young (1995, Table V, p. 657)

	\hat{Y}	\hat{K} (I)	\hat{K} (II)	\hat{L} (I)	\hat{L} (II)	\hat{A}	W_L
1961-66	10.9	16.9	16.2	3.2	2.5	3.5	.643
1966-71	6.5	7.5	7.8	2.5	2.4	2.3	.660
1971-76	8.1	7.5	8.0	3.3	2.4	3.9	.662
1976-81	9.9	9.3	9.8	5.1	6.4	2.2	.617
1981-86	5.8	7.8	7.9	1.9	2.7	.9	.593
1986-91	6.3	6.2	6.6	.5	2.2	2.4	.609

1966-91 7.3 7.7 8.0 2.6 3.2 2.3 .628

Note.- Annual growth rates (%) except W_L . Y is real GDP. \hat{K} (I) and \hat{L} (I) are the growth rates of quantity. \hat{K} (II) and \hat{L} (II) include qualitative improvement. Growth accounting is based on a single output translog production function.

Notations: Y = aggregate output; K = capital; L = labor; A , total factor productivity; and W_L = labor share of Y .

B: Solow model

	\hat{Y}	\hat{K} (I)	\hat{L} (I)	\hat{A}	Contribution to \hat{Y}	
					$(1 - W_L)\hat{K}$	$W_L\hat{L}$
	Annual rate, %					
1981-90	6.6	7.4	1.9	2.4	3.1	1.1
1985-90	6.7	7.1	1.3	3.0	2.9	0.8
1991-97	4.7	7.9	2.1	0.2	3.2	1.3
1985-97	5.6	7.4	1.7	1.5	3.1	1.0

Note.- Y is based on the GDP at factor cost (production-based GDP by economic activity, Census and Statistics Department, B). There are small differences between the production- and expenditure-based GDP because of errors and omissions in Hong Kong's national income accounts data. Growth accounting is based on the Cobb-Douglas production function. The mean of the 1984-97 period (.583) was used as the fixed value of W_L .

Table 5

Sectoral TFP Growth

Period	All Sectors	Tradables			
		Total	Goods	Services	Nontradables
		Annual growth rate, %			
1981-90	2.4	4.1	5.6	0.8	0.7
1985-90	3.0	6.2	9.7	1.6	- 0.0
1991-97	0.2	3.0	6.0	0.9	- 2.5
1985-97	1.5	4.5	7.7	1.2	- 1.4
	Contribution to annual TFP growth, %				
1981-90	(2.4)	2.0	1.8	0.2	0.4
1985-90	(3.0)	3.0	2.5	0.5	- 0.0
1991-97	(0.2)	2.9	1.6	1.3	- 2.7
1985-97	(1.5)	2.9	2.0	0.9	- 1.4

Research Fellows

Centre for Asian Pacific Studies

Professor Kueh, Yak-yeow, Director

Professor Bridges, Brian, Professor

Dr. Chan, Che-po, ATP

Dr. Cheung, Kui-yin, AEP

Dr. Fan, C. Simon, ATP

Dr. Hiroyuki, Imai, AEP

Mr. Kwok, Hong-kin, ATP

Dr. Lee, Keng-mun, William, AEP

Dr. Lei, Kai-cheong, ATP

Dr. Leung, Kit-fun, Beatrice, AEP

Dr. Li, Pang-kwong, ATP

Dr. Ren, Yue, ATP

Dr. Voon, Thomas, AEP

Dr. Wei, Xiangdong, AEP

Dr. Wong, Yiu-chung, ATP

All the Research Fellows listed above are staff of Department of Economics, and Department of Politics and Sociology. Interested staff from other academic departments of the University and other institutions are welcome to join the Centres as Research Fellows or Research Associates. Please contact Dr. Raymond Ng (Tel. 2616 7427) for further information.

AEP = Associate Professor

ATP = Assistant Professor

Centre for Public Policy Studies

Professor Ho, Lok-sang, Director

Dr. Che, Wai-kin, AEP

Dr. Fan, C. Simon, ATP

Dr. Law, Wing-kin, Kenneth, ATP

Dr. Lee, Keng-mun, William, AEP

Dr. Leung, Kit-fun, Beatrice, AEP

Dr. Li, Pang-kwong, ATP

Dr. Lin, Ping, ATP

Dr. Siu, Oi-ling, ATP

Dr. Voon, Thomas, AEP

Dr. Wei, Xiangdong, AEP

Working Paper Series

<u>No.</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Author</u>
45 (1/97) CAPS	China and the Prospects for Economic Integration within APEC	Professor Y. Y. Kueh
46 (2/97) CAPS	Export Competition Among China and ASEAN in the US Market: Application of Market Share Models	Dr. Thomas J. Voon and Dr. Xiangdong Wei
47 (3/97) CAPS	Hong Kong's Outward Processing Investment in China: Its Implications on Hong Kong Economy	Dr. Kui-yin Cheung
48 (4/97) CAPS	China - Taiwan's Trade and Investment Relations and their Impact on Taiwan's Income Distribution	Dr. K. C. Lei
49 (5/97) CAPS	Overseas Chinese and Foreign Investment in China: An Application of the Transaction Cost Approach	Dr. C. Simon Fan
50 (6/97) CAPS	Japanese FDI, Exports and Technology Transfer to China	Dr. Elspeth Thomson
51 (7/97) CPPS	Income Protection and the Elderly: An Examination of Social Security Policy in Singapore	Dr. William Keng-mun Lee
52 (8/97) CAPS	Old Ally Versus New Friend: China's Economic Relations with the Two Koreas	Dr. Brian Bridges
53 (9/97) CAPS	Hong Kong as a Financial Centre of Greater China	Professor Y. C. Jao
54 (10/97) CAPS	The Economic Link-up of Hong Kong and Guangdong: Structural and Developmental Problems	Professor Shu-ki Tsang and Dr. Yuk-shing Cheng
55 (11/97) CPPS	Electoral Cleavages and the Post-1997 Hong Kong's Political Dynamics	Dr. Pang-kwong Li
56 (12/97) CPPS	A Study of Occupational Stress, Job Satisfaction, and Quitting Intention in Hong Kong Firms: The Role of Locus of Control and Organizational Commitment	Ms. Oi-ling Siu
57 (13/97) CPPS	A Model of Human Nature and Personal Development	Professor Lok-sang Ho
58 (14/97) CPPS	Wage Compensation for Job Risks: The Case of Hong Kong	Dr. W. S. Siebert and Dr. Xiangdong Wei
59 (15/97) CPPS	Positive Effects of Modernization on Later Life	Dr. Kenneth W. K. Law
60 (16/97) CPPS	Filial Piety and Caregiving Burden in Shanghai, People's Republic of China	Professor William T. Liu, Professor Elena S. H. Yu, Professor Shang-Gong Sun and Professor Yin Kean

<u>No.</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Author</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Author</u>
61 (17/97) CPPS	How to Help the Rehabilitated Drug Abusers Not to Relapse to Drugs Again? A Successful Case - Hong Kong	Dr. Wai-kin Che	76 (4/98) CPPS	Symbolic Boundaries and Middle Class Formation in Hong Kong	Ms. Annie H. N. Chan
62 (18/97) CPPS	The Value of Time and the Interaction of the Quantity & the Quality of Children	Dr. Chengze Simon Fan	77 (5/98) CPPS	Urbanization in Sha Tin and Tuen Mun - Problems and Coping Strategies	Mr. Hong-kin Kwok and Mr. Shing-tak Chan
63 (19/97) CPPS	Generational Dependency and Elderly Care: A Psychological Interpretation of Cultural Norms and Exchange	Dr. Ying-yi Hong and Professor William T. Liu	78 (6/98) CAPS	Coping with Contagion: Europe and the Asian Economic Crisis	Dr. Brian Bridges
64 (20/97) CPPS	Living Arrangements and Elderly Care: The Case of Hong Kong	Professor Rance P. L. Lee, Dr. Jik-Joen Lee, Professor Elena S. H. Yu, Professor Shang-Gong Sun and Professor William T. Liu	79 (7/98) CAPS	New World Order and a New U.S. Policy Toward China	Professor James C. Hsiung
65 (21/97) CPPS	The Social Origin of Alzheimer's Disease: A Path Analysis	Professor William T. Liu and Professor Shang-Gong Sun	80 (8/98) CPPS	Poverty Policy in Hong Kong: Western Models and Cultural Divergence	Dr. William Lee and Professor John Edwards
66 (22/97) CAPS	Country of Origin Rules: Its Origin, Nature and Directions for Reform	Professor Lok-sang Ho	81 (9/98) CAPS	The Paradox of Hong Kong as a Non-Sovereign International Actor	Professor James C. Hsiung
67 (23/97) CAPS	A Long Term Monetary Strategy for Hong Kong and China	Professor Lok-sang Ho	82 (10/98) CAPS	Political Impacts of Catholic Education in Decolonization: Hong Kong and Macau	Dr. Beatrice Leung
68 (24/97) CPPS	Are Union Jobs Worse? Are Government Jobs Better?	Professor John S. Heywood, Professor W. S. Siebert and Dr. Xiangdong Wei	83 (11/98) CAPS	The Rise and Fall of the HK Economy	Professor Lok-sang Ho
69 (25/97) CPPS	Restructuring the Party/state Relations: China's Political Structural Reform in the 1980s	Dr. Yiu-chung Wong	84 (12/98) CAPS	中國貿易保護代價的測算：方法、結論和意義	張曙光教授
70 (26/97) CPPS	Estimating British Workers' Demand for Safety	Dr. Xiangdong Wei	85 (13/98) CAPS	中國居民收入差距的擴大及其原因	趙人偉教授、李實教授
71 (27/97) CPPS	Managerial Stress in Hong Kong and Taiwan: A Comparative Study	Ms. Oi-ling Siu, Dr. Luo Lu and Professor Cary L. Cooper	86 (14/98) CAPS	The Labor Income Tax Equivalent of Price Scissors in Pre-Reform China	Dr. Hiroyuki Imai
72 (28/97) CPPS	Teaching Social Science in the East Asian Context	Professor William T. Liu	87 (15/98) CPPS	Complementarity, Investment Incentives, and Evolution of Joint Ventures	Dr. Ping Lin and Dr. Kamal Saggi
73 (1/98) CPPS	Interpreting the Basic Law with Chinese Characteristics	Professor James C. Hsiung	88 (16/98) CPPS	A Theory of Health and Health Policy	Professor Lok-sang Ho
74 (2/98) CPPS	Worker Participation and Firm Performance: Evidence from Germany and Britain	Professor John T. Addison, Professor W. Stanley Siebert, Professor Joachim Wagner and Dr. Xiangdong Wei	89 (1/99) CPPS	Towards a New International Monetary Order: The World Currency Unit and the Global Indexed Bond	Professor Lok-sang Ho
75 (3/98) CPPS	The Nature of Optimal Public Policy	Professor Lok-sang Ho	90 (2/99) CPPS	Age Differences in Work Adjustment: A Study of Male and Female Managerial Stress, Coping Strategies and Locus of Control in Hong Kong	Dr. Oi-ling Siu, Professor Paul E. Spector, Professor Cary L. Cooper, Dr. Kate Sparks and Dr. Ian Donald
			91 (3/99) CAPS	A Comparative Study of Managerial Stress in Greater China: The Direct and Indirect Effects of Coping Strategies and Work Locus of Control	Dr. Oi-ling Siu, Professor Paul E. Spector, Professor Cary L. Cooper, Dr. Luo Lu and Dr. Shanfa Yu

<u>No.</u>	<u>Topic</u>	<u>Author</u>
92 (4/99) CPPS	Implementing Efficient Allocations in a Model of Financial Intermediation	Professor Edward J. Green and Dr. Ping Lin
93 (5/99) CPPS	R & D Incentives in Vertically Related Industries	Dr. Samiran Banerjee and Dr. Ping Lin
94 (6/99) CAPS	Testing for a Nonlinear Relationship among Fundamentals and Exchange Rates in the ERM	Dr. Yue Ma and Dr. Angelos Kanas
95 (7/99) CPPS	Health Care Delivery and Financing: in Search of an Ideal Model - Reflections on the Harvard Report	Professor Lok-sang Ho
96 (8/99) CPPS	A Structural Equation Model of Environmental Attitude and Behaviour: The Hong Kong Experience	Dr. Oi-ling Siu and Dr. Kui-yin Cheung
97 (9/99) CAPS	Hong Kong's Inflation under the U.S. Dollar Peg: The Balassa-Samuelson Effect or the Dutch Disease?	Dr. Hiroyuki Imai
98 (1/00) CAPS	Structural Transformation and Economic Growth in Hong Kong: Another Look at Young's "A Tale of Two Cities"	Dr. Hiroyuki Imai

A full list of working papers titles is also available at the Centre homepages: <http://www.ln.edu.hk/caps/> and <http://www.ln.edu.hk/cpps/>.